01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06		
07	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
08		SEATTLE
09	PETER J. MCDANIELS,) CASE NO. C12-1289-TSZ-MAT
10	Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C12-1209-13Z-WA1
11	v.) ORDER RE: PENDING MOTIONS) FOR EXTENSIONS OF TIME
12	PETE KREMEN, et al.,) FOR EXTENSIONS OF TIME
13	Defendants.))
14		- <i>)</i>
15	Plaintiff Peter J. McDaniels proceeds pro se and in forma pauperis (IFP) in this 42	
16	U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights case. He now seeks extensions of time (Dkt. 192 & 193) to respond	
17	to two pending motions. Defendants have not objected to plaintiff's requests. Having	
18	considered plaintiff's motions, the Court finds and concludes as follows:	
19	(1) Plaintiff seeks extensions of t	time to respond to Defendant Zender's Motion for
20	Summary Judgment (Dkt. 188) and Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (Dkt.	
21	190). He asks that those motions be renoted for consideration from August 9, 2013 to October	
22	25, 2013, pointing to, <i>inter alia</i> , his current participation in Ramadan and his work on another	
	ORDER PAGE -1	

pending motion for summary judgment. 01 02 As an initial matter, the Court notes that the motions at issue, both filed on July 19, 2013, should have been noted for consideration on August 16, 2013. See LCR 7(d)(3), (6). 03 04The Court further finds plaintiff's request for extensions of time reasonable. As such, 05 plaintiff's Motions for Extensions of Time (Dkts. 192 & 193) are GRANTED, and Defendant Zender's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 188) and Defendants' Motion for Judgment on 06 the Pleadings (Dkt. 190) are hereby RENOTED for consideration on October 25, 2013. Plaintiff may submit responses to the motions no later than October 21, 2013 and defendants 08 may submit replies no later than the noting date. The Court advises plaintiff that, given this 09lengthy extension of time, no further extensions will be granted in regard to these 10 motions. Plaintiff is further directed to utilize the time granted to ensure that his 11 responses comply with the length limitation set forth in the Local Civil Rules. See LCR 12 7(e)(3) (briefs in opposition must not exceed twenty-four pages). 13 14 (2) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to plaintiff, counsel for 15 defendants, and to Judge Zilly. 16 DATED this 20th day of August, 2013. 17 18 Mary Alice Theiler 19 Chief United States Magistrate Judge 20 21 22